

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006

APPLICATION NO	. F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/659,464		09/09/2003	Kung-Li Deng	134239	134239 4724	
6147	7590	09/15/2006		EXAMINER		
GENERA GLOBAL		RIC COMPANY	VARGOT, MATHIEU D			
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1732		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				0
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	055 - 4 - 4 - 4	10/659,464	DENG ET AL.	•
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess ·
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		erits is
Dienociti	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 2-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. r election requirement.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	age
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1732

1.Upon reconsideration, the indication of allowable subject matter concerning claims 13 and 19 has been rescinded and an action hereby follows on all the claims.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Suzuki et in view of Mikami et al and the article to Hirose et al entitled "Optical Solder Effects of Self-Written Waveguides in Optical Circuit Devices Coupling" or Mikami et al in view of Suzuki et al and the article to Hirose et al.

Mikami et al and Suzuki et al are essentially applied for reasons of record, the references failing to teach using a splitter such that writing light (ie, the UV) is sent through a first path to expose the waveguide and a signal light source (ie, the 850 nm to PD) is sent through a second path to be monitored and allow an evaluation of the signal light. Hence, it is respectfully submitted that the article to Hirose et al teaches whatever was found to be lacking in Mikami et al and Suzuki et al concerning the use of a splitter as one of the optical elements.

3.Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

While applicant's amendment presents claims in independent form which had been indicated as allowable, upon reconsideration and careful review of the article to Hirose

Application/Control Number: 10/659,464 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

et al, it is now believed that these claims would not be patentable. Clearly, Hirose et al envisions using a splitter/recombiner device to polymerize paths in "self-written" waveguides and evaluate the performance of the signal light.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot September 12, 2006 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

9/12/06